

APPENDIX-12

IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SONITPUR
TEZPUR

Present:- Sri Nabajit Bhatta. AJS. MA, LL.B.
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Sonitpur, Tezpur

[Date of the Judgment]
13.10.2022

[PR Case No-1966 of 2019]

(FIR NO-1243/2019 DATED-12.07.2019/CRUELTY AGAINST WOMAN CASE AND
TEZPUR POLICE STATION)

COMPLAINANT :	STATE OF ASSAM OR Must. Sultana Nehar, W/O:- Md. Rabbul Ali, R/O:- Roumari, P/S:- Tezpur, Dist:- Sonitpur, Assam
REPRESENTED BY	Mrs. Karabi Das, Ld. Asst. P.P
ACCUSED PERSON	Md. Rabbul Ali, S/O:- Md. Abdul Barek, R/O:- Jharani Borghat, P/S:- Tezpur, Dist:- Sonitpur, Assam
REPRESENTED BY	Mr. Kabin Sharma, Ld. Counsel

APPENDIX-13

Date of Offence	On or before 11.07.2019
Date of FIR	12.07.2019
Date of Charge Sheet	31.07.2019
Date of Framing of Charge	28.09.2022
Date of commencement of evidence	13.10.2022
Date on which judgment is reserved	13.10.2022
Date of Judgment	13.10.2022
Date of the Sentencing Order, if any	NIL

ACCUSED DETAILS :

Rank of the Accused	Name of the accused	Date of Arrest	Date of Release on Bail	Offences charged with	Whether acquitted or convicted	Sentenced Imposed	Period of Detention undergone during Trial for purpose of Sec. 428 Cr.P.C.
A-1	Md. Rabbul Ali	NIL	NIL	Sec-498(A) of IPC	Acquitted	NIL	NIL

IN THE COURT OF CHIEF JUDICIAL MAGISTRATE, SONITPUR::
TEZPUR

P. R. Case No-1966 of 2019

State of Assam

-Vs-

Md. Rabbul Ali

.....Accused Person

Under section-498(A) of I.P.C

Present:

Sri Nabajit Bhatta AJS. MA, LL.B.
Chief Judicial Magistrate, Sonitpur at Tezpur

13th day of October, 2022

Mrs. Karabi Das, Asst. P.P Advocate for the State

Mr. K. Sharma, Ld. Counsel Advocate for the Accused

Date of Hearing : 13.10.2022

Date of Argument : 13.10.2022

Date of Judgment : 13.10.2022

J U D G M E N T

1. Prosecution story in brief is as follows that the informant Must. Sultana Nehar lodged an FIR before the O/C of Tezpur Police Station to the effect that she got married with the accused on 20.05.2015 as per Islamic rites and ritual and thereafter, started leading their conjugal life as husband and wife. It is also stated that after marriage the accused started torturing her both mentally and physically by demanding money from her and asked her to bring the same from her parental house. It is also stated that the accused abused her using filthy language. It is further stated that on 12.07.2019 at about 6 AM, her husband along with her in-laws during tea time had assaulted her. Hence, the case.

2. The instant case was registered under section-498(A) of I.P.C. and the police investigated the same. After completion of the investigation police submitted the charge-sheet against the accused person, namely, Md. Rabbul Islam under section-498(A) of I.P.C.
3. That my Ld. Predecessor in office took cognizance of the offence against the accused person. On appearance of the accused person copies of relevant documents were furnished to the accused person and the charge under section-498(A) of I.P.C. was framed against the accused and the said charge was read over and explained to the accused person to which he pleaded not guilty and claimed to be tried.
4. Prosecution in order to prove the case has examined the informant in support of this case. Defence plea was total denial. Defence has adduced no evidence. Statement of the accused person has been recorded under section-313 of Cr. P.C.

5. **POINTS FOR DETERMINATION:-**

- (i.) Whether the accused person being the husband of informant namely, Must. Sultana Nehar on or before 11.07.2022 had subjected her to mental as well as physical torture by demanding dowry on various occasions after marriage and subsequently, the accused mercilessly beaten her causing injuries on her person and thereby committed an offence of cruelty upon her punishable U/S-498(A) of I.P.C.?
6. Heard argument from the Ld. Advocate of the accused person. On perusal of the evidence on record and case diary the very findings are as follows.

DISCUSSION, DECISION AND REASONS THEREOF

7. The prosecution opening the account of examining the witnesses first brought the informant, namely, Must. Sultana Nehar as PW-1. The PW-1 has stated in her evidence-in-chief that the accused person of this case is her husband. She also stated that in the year 2005 she got married with the accused person and led conjugal life happily and two children were born out of their wedlock. She further stated that after 4 years of her marriage one day she had a quarrel with

the accused over some domestic issue. She stated that after that after that she lodged the ejahar against her husband. She identified her ejahar as P. Ext-1 wherein she put her signature as P. Ext-1(1). She stated that at present they are living happily at her matrimonial house and she does not want to proceed further against the accused person. In cross-examination, PW-1 has stated that she has no objection if the accused is released from this case.

8. I have minutely perused the evidence on record including the cross-examination of witness. From the above discussions, no sufficient incriminatory materials have been found against the accused person. Thus keeping view of what has been discussed above this court has no least hesitation that the prosecution has failed miserably to establish the guilt of the accused person U/S: 498(A) of I.P.C. Hence, the accused person, namely, Md. Rabbul Ali is not found guilty.

ORDER

Accused person, namely, Md. Rabbul Ali is acquitted from the Charge under section-498(A) of I.P.C. and set at liberty forthwith.

Bail-bond of the accused person is extended for six months in view of section-437A of Cr PC.

Judgment is prepared and pronounced in open court. Given under my hand & seal of this court on this 13th day of October, 2022 at Tezpur.

(Sri Nabajit Bhatta)
Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Sonitpur: Tezpur

Dictated and Corrected by me

Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Sonitpur: Tezpur

APPENDIX -14
LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT WITNESSES

A. Prosecution:

RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)
PW-1	Must. Sultana Nehar	INFORMANT

B. Defence Witnesses, if any:

RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)
NIL	NIL	NIL

C. Court Witnesses, if any:

RANK	NAME	NATURE OF EVIDENCE (EYE WITNESS, POLICE WITNESS, EXPERT WITNESS, MEDICAL WITNESS, PANCH WITNESS, OTHER WITNESS)
NIL	NIL	NIL

LIST OF PROSECUTION/DEFENCE/COURT EXHIBITS**A. Prosecution:**

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
1	Ext-1/PW-1	Ejhar
2	Ext-1(1)	Signature of PW-1

B. Defence:

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
NIL	NIL	NIL

C. Court Exhibits:

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
NIL	NIL	NIL

D. Material Objects:

Sr. No.	Exhibit Number	Description
NIL	NIL	NIL

Chief Judicial Magistrate,
Sonitpur: Tezpur